

Exhibit 16

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA
MONROE DIVISION

The State of LOUISIANA,
By and through its Attorney General,
Elizabeth B. Murrill; et al.,

PLAINTIFFS,

v.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION; et al.,

DEFENDANTS.

Civil Action No. 3:24-cv-00563

Chief Judge Terry A Doughty
Magistrate Judge Kayla D. McClusky

DECLARATION OF JOHN GULLATT

Under 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I, John Gullatt, hereby declare under penalty of perjury that the following is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief:

BACKGROUND

1. I am the Superintendent of the Franklin Parish School Board (“School Board” or “the Board”). Under Louisiana law, the School Board is elected and has policymaking, financial, and administrative power over schools within its district (“Franklin Parish Schools” or the “school district”).

2. Among its powers and responsibilities, the School Board secures funds for the school district, including by applying for grants, levying authorized taxes, and issuing bonds, and administers those funds. The School Board is also responsible for all school facilities within the district, including determining whether improvements are necessary, authorizing construction projects, and overseeing those projects.

3. The School Board oversees 6 schools, which provide education for approximately 2,600 students in Pre-Kindergarten through 12th grade.

4. The School Board receives federal funding, including funds distributed under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act and Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act. Because it is a recipient of federal funds, the School Board is subject to Title IX and Title IX regulations and has a Title IX coordinator.

5. During the last fiscal year (from July 2022 to June 2023) the School Board received \$3,996,039 of federal funding. It has received approximately \$2,137,305 of federal funding so far this fiscal year (July 2023 to June 2024), and estimates it will receive at least \$5,000,000 of federal funding next fiscal year (July 2024 to June 2025).

CURRENT POLICIES AND PRACTICES

6. Consistent with Title IX and current Title IX regulations, the School Board recognizes that biological differences between boys and girls demand differentiation based on sex in some circumstances to preserve privacy and promote respect, dignity, and equal opportunity for both sexes.

7. All Schools accordingly have sex-specific bathrooms and locker rooms. Only biological males are allowed in bathrooms and locker rooms designated for “men” or “boys,” and only biological females are allowed in bathrooms and locker rooms designated for “women” or “girls.”

8. When students and employees claim a gender identity that differs from their biological sex, those students and employees may use a single-user bathroom.

9. Franklin Parish Schools also have some sex-specific classes and activities. PE classes are divided by sex. Activities include boys’ football, boys’ basketball, girls’ basketball, boys’ baseball, girls’ softball, boys’ track, and girls’ track.

10. Franklin Parish Schools provide students with enriching extracurricular opportunities, including interscholastic athletics for junior high and high school levels. Many of the athletic teams

are designated as being for boys or girls. For example, Franklin Parish Schools have separate girls' teams for basketball, softball, track, tennis, soccer, and boys' teams for football, basketball, track, baseball, tennis, golf.

11. Franklin Parish Schools follow Louisiana's Fairness in Women's Sports Act, which "promote[s] sex equality" by "providing opportunities for female athletes to demonstrate their skill, strength, and athletic abilities while also providing them with opportunities to obtain recognition, accolades, scholarships, better physical and mental health, and the numerous other long-term benefits that flow from success in athletic endeavors." La. Rev. Stat. § 4:442(9).

12. Accordingly, Franklin Parish Schools' teams designated for girls are not "open to students who are not biologically female." *Id.* § 4:444(B). The School Board believes this policy advances equal athletic opportunities for girls, increases girls' participation in athletics, and reduces the chances that girls will be seriously injured by competing in contact sports with biological boys.

13. Franklin Parish Schools occasionally have overnight field trips. On those field trips, Franklin Parish Schools assigns chaperones and students to rooms based on sex. Males room with males and females room with females. Chaperones use a separate room not including students for lodging. Male chaperones room with male chaperons and female chaperones room with female chaperones.

14. The School Board does not have a policy that requires students and staff to use biologically inaccurate pronouns or neopronouns based on an individual's claimed gender identity.¹

15. The School Board believes its policies and practices are in the best interest of students, staff, community, and its educational mission.

¹ See *Understanding Neopronouns*, Human Rights Campaign (last updated May 18, 2022), <https://www.hrc.org/resources/understanding-neopronouns> ("Neopronouns are also pronouns, and include those pronouns besides the ones most commonly used in a particular language. As one's pronouns are ultimately a reflection of their personal identity, the number and types of (neo)pronouns a person may use is limitless. Examples of neopronoun sets include: xe/xir/xirs, ze/zir/zirs and fae/faer/faers.").

THE RULE'S IMPACT

16. On April 29, 2024, the U.S. Department of Education published a final rule titled “Nondiscrimination on the Basis of Sex in Education Programs or Activities Receiving Federal Financial Assistance,” 89 Fed. Reg. 33,474 (Apr. 29, 2024) (the “Rule”). Although the Rule has an effective date of August 1, 2024, *see id.* at 33,549, the Rule has already caused and will continue to cause the School Board and school district irreparable harm well before that date.

17. The Rule harms the school district by dramatically increasing its federal obligations, compliance costs, and litigation risks. It does so by, among other things, (1) revising Title IX’s prohibition on sex discrimination to include discrimination based on other grounds,² such as “gender identity,”³ (2) generally requiring persons to be treated consistently with his or her claimed gender identity,⁴ which includes allowing persons to use bathrooms and locker rooms that are inconsistent with their biological sex,⁵ and (3) expanding prohibited discrimination to include allegedly harassing

² *See* 89 Fed. Reg. at 33,886 (“Discrimination on the basis of sex includes discrimination on the basis of sex stereotypes, sex characteristics, pregnancy or related conditions, sexual orientation, and gender identity.”).

³ *See id.* at 33,809 (disagreeing that the term “gender identity” needs to be defined in the regulations and “understand[ing] gender identity to describe an individual’s sense of their gender, which may or may not be different from their sex assigned at birth” or “subjective, deep-core sense of self as being a particular gender”); *id.* at 33,818 (recognizing that someone can have a gender identity other than “male or female”); *see also* *What Are the 72 Other Genders?*, MedicineNet (medically reviewed on Feb. 9, 2024), https://www.medicinenet.com/what_are_the_72_other_genders/article.htm (explaining that there are 72 other genders “[b]eside male and female,” and “[t]he idea is to make everyone feel comfortable in their skin irrespective of what gender they were assigned at birth”); *What you need to know about xenogender*, LGBTQNation (updated on July 26, 2022), <https://www.lgbtqnation.com/2022/03/need-know-xenogender/> (explaining that “xenogender” “describes someone who doesn’t feel like their gender identity fits into any of the traditional categories of male or female,” “[x]enogender identities . . . fill a ‘lexical gap’ . . . by comparing their gender identities to certain concepts – pre-existing or imaginary,” and that “[t]here dozens of different types of xenogenders out there”).

⁴ *See* 89 Fed. Reg. at 33,809 (“To comply with the prohibition on gender identity discrimination, a recipient[,] . . . as described in more detail in the discussion of § 106.31(a)(2), generally may not prevent a person from participating in its education program or activity consistent with the person’s gender identity.”); *id.* at 33,887 (§ 106.31(a)(2)) (“In the limited circumstances in which Title IX or this part permits different treatment or separation on the basis of sex, a recipient must not carry out such different treatment or separation in a manner that discriminates on the basis of sex by subjecting a person to more than de minimis harm, [with limited exceptions.] Adopting a policy or engaging in a practice that prevents a person from participating in an education program or activity consistent with the person’s gender identity subjects a person to more than de minimis harm on the basis of sex.”).

⁵ *See id.* at 33,818 (denying “a transgender student access to a sex-separate facility or activity consistent with that student’s gender identity . . . would violate Title IX’s general nondiscrimination mandate”); *id.* (agreeing that “students experience sex-based harm that violates Title IX when a recipient bars them from accessing sex-separate facilities or activities

speech that limits educational opportunities,⁶ which can include a refusal to refer to a student by whatever pronouns that student demands.⁷

18. The Rule thus conflicts with many of the school district's policies and practices, including the ones detailed above that provide for sex-specific facilities, classes, and athletic teams. It will also require the school district to adopt a policy ("New Speech Policy") that, among other things, (1) prohibits students and staff from using accurate pronouns for persons who claim a gender identity that is different from their biological sex and (2) requires students and staff to use biological inaccurate pronouns or neopronouns based on an individual's claimed gender identity.

19. That means, as a threshold matter, the Rule imminently harms the school district by interfering with the authority of the duly elected School Board to set policies and establish practices that it believes are in the best interest of its students, staff, community, and its educational mission. The Rule will require the School Board to start taking steps to change to its current policies and practices, which the School Board does not wish to do.

20. Moreover, requiring the School Board to change policies and implement new procedures across Franklin Parish Schools will impose the following imminent and irreparable compliance costs:

consistent with their gender identity"); *id.* ("a recipient must provide access to sex-separate facilities, including bathrooms, in a manner that does not cause more than de minimis harm").

⁶ See *id.* at 33,882, 33,884 (defining "[s]ex-based discrimination" to include harassment based on "sex stereotypes, sex characteristics, pregnancy or related conditions, sexual orientation, and gender identity"); *id.* at 33,884 (defining "[h]ostile environment harassment" as "[u]nwelcome sex-based conduct that, based on the totality of the circumstances, is subjectively and objectively offensive and is so severe or pervasive that it limits or denies a person's ability to participate in or benefit from the recipient's education program or activity (*i.e.*, creates a hostile environment)").

⁷ See *id.* at 33,516 (discussing "unwelcome conduct based on gender identity" and citing U.S. Equal Emp. Opportunity Comm'n, *Sexual Orientation and Gender Identity (SOGI) Discrimination*, <https://www.eeoc.gov/sexualorientation-and-gender-identity-sogidiscrimination> ("SOGI Guidance")); see also SOGI Guidance ("Although accidental misuse of a transgender employee's name and pronouns does not violate Title VII, intentionally and repeatedly using the wrong name and pronouns to refer to a transgender employee could contribute to an unlawful hostile work environment.").

21. Costs and time to review and understand the Rule, which will take approximately 10 hours of employee time and cost approximately \$250.00. Some of these costs have already been incurred; however, the bulk of these costs will be incurred by August 1, 2024.

22. Costs and time to revise school district policies, which will take approximately 4-6 hours of employee time and cost approximately \$1,500.00. The school district policies will need to be completed and approved by no later than August 1, 2024, so that the school district has time to revise employee training materials and train employees before the Rule's effective date.

23. Costs and time to revise employee training, which take approximately 10 hours of employee time and cost approximately \$250.00. This will need to be completed by August 1, 2024.

24. Costs and time to train employees regarding new obligations under the Rule and changes to school district policies and practices, which will take approximately 10 hours of employee time and cost approximately \$250.00 (for the employees doing the training, not to mention the cost of employees attending the training). This training will be conducted on August 1, 2024, during in school training meeting before the start of school.

25. Furthermore, the specific policy changes that are required by the Rule will impose additional costs on the school district. For example, the New Speech Policy will chill academic discourse and detract from the school district's ability to teach students to be critical thinkers who can engage with ideas from a variety of viewpoints. Teachers will be conscripted into enforcing this policy and to report any speech that "reasonably may" constitute harassment under the Rule, 89 Fed. Reg. at 38,888, which could include students expressing a religious belief about humanity or using accurate pronouns for a classmate who demands everyone use neopronouns, *see id.* at 33,514–16. This would hurt the school district (and the students) from diverting teachers' time and attention away from teaching and would likely lead some teachers to resign. Time would be allotted for professional development for teachers in the realm. This policy will also likely lead to private litigation. Some

teachers and parents (on behalf of their children) would sue the school district claiming infringement of Free Speech and Free Exercise rights. All types of litigation will be deferred to legal counsel.

26. To take another example, the school district will need to change its policy and practices so that men or boys who claim to have a female gender identity can use girls' bathrooms and locker rooms. The Rule warns that "requiring a student to submit to invasive medical inquiries or burdensome documentation requirements" before treating a student consistently with claimed gender identity "imposes more than de minimis harm" and would be discrimination. *Id.* at 33,819. The school district therefore cannot prevent male predators from insincerely asserting a female gender identity to access girls' bathrooms and locker rooms. They cannot, for example—without risking enforcement proceedings and liability under the Rule—require a diagnosis of gender dysphoria (previously referred to as gender identity disorder) before allowing a male to enter a girls' bathroom or locker room.

27. In an effort to lessen the harms caused by the Rule (and possibly to comply with the Rule itself),⁸ the school district would be compelled to undertake expensive construction projects to convert all bathroom and locker rooms into single-user facilities or to otherwise make modifications to increase students' privacy and safety.

28. Due to the age of the Franklin Parish facilities, being required to convert from sex-specific restrooms to single user restroom/facilities would be astronomical. Most of our older elementary/junior high buildings only have limited restrooms to upgrade to single users would require the schools to eliminate current classrooms. Most of our schools are at capacity which would require major renovation. Expenses would include architect and construction companies, and a project supervisor. These expenses would occur at all seven campuses. During renovations of our existing

⁸ The Rule requires school districts to provide "nondiscriminatory access to facilities" to students who "do not identify as male or female." *See id.* at 33,818. If school districts designate certain bathrooms as "girls" bathrooms and certain bathrooms as "boys" bathrooms, they may need to likewise designate other bathrooms in accordance with multiple other gender identities.

restrooms, we would be required to have alternative type of restrooms, such as portable toilets, which would require us to incur additional cost.

29. The Rule also will create conflicts between Franklin Parish Schools and parents, such as by requiring school districts to take steps to address purported harassment even when that child's parents do not wish to file a complaint and believe that no harassment occurred. *See, e.g., id.* at 33,821–22 (refusing to answer “whether a recipient should comply with a request by a minor student to change their name or pronouns used at school if their parent opposes the change”); *id.* at 33,596–97 (noting that even where the Title IX coordinator defers to the parent about whether to file a complaint, “the Title IX Coordinator may still be required to, as necessary, take other steps,” such as training about harassment). This harms the school district that believes in partnering with parents and could lead to lawsuits alleging the school district has violated parental rights.

30. Additionally, the Rule limits what information Franklin Parish Schools can share with parents and warns that schools could be guilty of harassment if they disclose someone's gender identity (such as informing parents that a biological boy identifies as a girl). *See id.* at 33,622 (explaining that schools could violate the Rule if they “disclose personally identifiable information about a student's sexual orientation or gender identity broadly to other students or employees, which resulted in the student experiencing sex-based harassment”); *id.* at 33,536 (emphasizing that, “[t]o the extent that a conflict exists between a recipient's obligations under Title IX and under FERPA [the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act], § 106.6(e) [of the Rule] expressly states that the obligation to comply with the Title IX regulations is not obviated or alleviated by the FERPA statute or regulations” and “that a recipient must not use FERPA as a shield from compliance with Title IX”). This places Franklin Parish Schools in an untenable position, especially when it comes to overnight field trips. The Rule seems to both require Franklin Parish Schools to assign boys who claim to be girls to a girls-

only room and to prohibit the Franklin Parish Schools from informing those girls' parents of the biological sex of their children's roommate.

31. The Rule will likely harm the school district by reducing enrollment. Many parents in our community will not want their children to have to share bathrooms, locker rooms, and overnight accommodations with members of the opposite sex or have their children's speech and religious exercise to be chilled. Accordingly, the Rule will cause an increased number of families to decide to homeschool their children or enroll them in a religious school.

32. Additionally, the Rule will increase the School Board's obligations and risks of liability related to the Franklin Parish Schools' compliance with Louisiana law prohibiting boys from playing on girls' teams. Because the Rule defines "[d]iscrimination on the basis of sex" to include "gender identity" and generally requires schools to treat children according to their claimed gender identity, *id.* at 33,815, 33,886, the school district's refusal to allow a boy who identifies as a girl from playing on a girls' team would be conduct that "reasonably may be sex" discrimination under the Rule that will trigger the school district's obligations to "respond promptly and effectively" and increase liability risks, *id.* at 33,563, 33,888; *see* Brief of U.S. Dep't of Justice, Civil Rights Division, *B.P.J. v. West Va. State Bd. of Educ.*, No. 23-1078, ECF No. 68-1 at 12-13 (4th Cir. Apr. 3, 2023) (arguing that categorically prohibiting boys who claim a female gender identity from "participating on girls' sports teams because their sex assigned at birth was male . . . discriminates on the basis of sex").

33. The Rule will also impose ongoing costs on the school as a result of increased Title IX complaints, investigations, and private lawsuits based on, among other things, the (1) expanded scope of what constitutes discrimination on the basis of sex, (2) expanded definition of harassment, and (3) application of Title IX to conduct that occurs outside of the school district's programs and activities.

34. Other provisions of the Rule will also require the school district to expend more time and resources. For example, the Rule increases monitoring and recordkeeping requirements. *See id.* at 33,886 (requiring recipient to keep “each notification” “about conduct that reasonably may constitute discrimination” and “actions the recipient too” in response for seven years); 33,888 (requiring Title IX Coordinator to monitor recipient’s programs and activities “for barriers to reporting”).

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on this 8 day of May, 2024 in Winnsboro, Louisiana.


John Gullatt, Superintendent